

Math 255B Lecture 19 Notes

Daniel Raban

February 21, 2020

1 Development Toward the Spectral Theorem for Unbounded Self-Adjoint Operators

1.1 The resolvent

Let $T : D(T) \rightarrow H$ be closed and densely defined. We said $\lambda \notin \text{Spec}(T) \iff T - \lambda : D(T) \rightarrow H$ is bijective and defined the **resolvent** as $R(\lambda) = (T - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$ for $\lambda \in \rho(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Spec}(T)$, the **resolvent set**.

Proposition 1.1. *The resolvent set $\rho(T) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is open, and $\rho(T) \ni \lambda \mapsto R(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$ is holomorphic.*

Proof. If $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$ write

$$T - \lambda = (T - \lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_0) = (1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)R(\lambda_0))(T - \lambda_0).$$

It follows that $T - \lambda$ is invertible for $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R(\lambda_0)\|}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda) &= R(\lambda_0) = R(\lambda_0)(1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)R(\lambda_0))^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^n R(\lambda_0)^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This converges in $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$, so $R(\lambda)$ is holomorphic. □

Proposition 1.2. *If T is self-adjoint, then $\text{Spec}(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|(T - \lambda)u\|^2 = \|(T - \text{Re } \lambda)u\|^2 + (\text{Im } \lambda)^2 \|u\|^2. \quad \square$$

We also have

$$\|R(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H, H)} \leq \frac{1}{|\text{Im } \lambda|}.$$

1.2 Nevanlinna-Herglotz functions for self-adjoint operators

Example 1.1. Let $:D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H$ be self-adjoint, $\langle \mathcal{A}u, u \rangle \geq c\|u\|^2$ for $u \in D(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathcal{A}^{-1} : H \rightarrow H$ be compact. Then $\text{Spec}(A)$ is of the form $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$. Let e_j be an orthonormal basis of H such that $(\mathcal{A} - \lambda_j)e_j = 0$. Then for $u = \sum_j x_j e_j \in H$,

$$\langle R(z)u, u \rangle = \sum \frac{|c_j|}{\lambda_j - z} = \int \frac{\xi - z}{\lambda_j - z} d\mu(\xi), \quad d\mu = \sum |c_j|^2 \delta(\xi - \lambda)$$

This is a positive, pure point measure of total mass $\int d\mu = \|u\|^2$ (by Parseval).

Let $A : D(A) \rightarrow H$ be self-adjoint, and consider the holomorphic function

$$f(z) = \langle R(z)u, u \rangle, \quad \text{Im } z > 0.$$

Then $|f(z)| \leq \frac{C}{|\text{Im } z|}$, where $C = \|u\|^2$. We have

$$2i \text{Im } f(z) = \langle R(z)u, u \rangle - \langle R(z)^*u, u \rangle$$

Using $R(z)^* = R(\bar{z})$,

$$= \langle (R(z) - R(\bar{z}))u, u \rangle$$

We can also check via algebraic manipulation that $R(\lambda) - R(\mu) = (\lambda - \mu)R(\lambda)R(\mu)$. Using this,

$$= 2i \text{Im } z \langle R(z)^*R(z)u, u \rangle.$$

So we get

$$\text{Im } f = \text{Im } z \|R(z)u\|^2.$$

In particular, $\text{Im } f \geq 0$ for $\text{Im } z > 0$.

Now we can use the following general result from complex analysis.¹

Theorem 1.1 (Nevanlinna, Herglotz, ...). *Let f be a holomorphic function in $\text{Im } z > 0$ with $\text{Im } f \geq 0$ and $|f(z)| \leq \frac{c}{\text{Im } z}$. Then there is a uniform bound*

$$\int \text{Im } f(x + iy) dx \leq C\pi \quad \forall y > 0,$$

and there exists a positive bounded measure μ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int \varphi(x) \text{Im } f(x + iy) dx \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int \varphi d\mu \quad \forall \varphi \in C_B := (C \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}).$$

¹This is a standard result. It was even a qualifying exam problem in the past.

We have

$$f(z) = \int \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\mu(\xi), \quad \text{Im } z > 0,$$

$$\int d\mu(\xi) = \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} y \text{Im } f(iy) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (-zf(z)),$$

where $z \rightarrow \infty$ with $\arg(z)$ bounded away from $0, \pi$.

Conversely, if $\mu \geq 0$ is a bounded measure on \mathbb{R} and f is defined by $f(z) = \int \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\mu(\xi)$, then both the weak convergence and the limit condition hold.

Proof. Take the semicircle contour γ (slightly above the real axis) made of $\text{Im } z = c > 0$ and an arc of radius R . Cauchy's integral formula gives

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left(\frac{1}{\zeta - z} - \frac{1}{\zeta - z^*} \right) d\zeta,$$

where z^* is the reflection of z over the line $\text{Im } z = c$. That is, $z^* = \bar{z} + 2ic$.

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \frac{z - z^*}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z^*)} d\zeta$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_c} \frac{f(\zeta)(z - z^*)}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta^* - z^*)} d\zeta,$$

where L_c is the whole line $\text{Im } z = c$ and $(\zeta - z)(\zeta^* - z^*) = |\zeta - z|^2$. Take the imaginary part of this to get

$$\text{Im } f = \frac{\text{Im } z - c}{\pi} \int_{L_c} \frac{\text{Im } f(\zeta)}{|\zeta - z|^2} d\zeta$$

Multiply by $\text{Im } z$ and let $\text{Im } z \rightarrow \infty$ while keeping $\text{Re } z$ fixed: the left hand side is $\leq c$. By Fatou's lemma,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Im } f(x + ic) dx \leq c.$$

By Banach-Alaoglu, there is a sequence of $c_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and a positive, bounded measure μ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im } f(x + ic_n) dx \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} \mu.$$

We get that

$$\text{Im } f(z) = \text{Im } z \int \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^2} d\mu(\xi), \quad \text{Im } z > 0.$$

This implies that

$$\operatorname{Im} \left(f(z) - \int \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\mu(\xi) \right) = 0,$$

so

$$f(z) = \int \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\mu(\xi),$$

proving the first claim. □

We will finish the proof of the theorem next time.